



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING DATE                          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.             | CONFIRMATION NO.                             |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 09/470,163               | 12/22/1999                           | DAVID M. PUTZOLU     | 81674-264193                    | 5845   |
| 7.                       | 590 10/03/2002                       |                      | •                               |  |
| MR ROGER R WISE          |                                      |                      | , EXAMINER                      |  |
|                          | MADISON & SUTRO LL<br>ORK AVENUE N W | P                    | NGUYEN, QUANG N                 |  |
|                          | R - EAST TOWER                       | • •                  | <u> </u>                        | <u>.                                    </u> |
| WASHINGTON, DC 200053918 |                                      |                      | ART UNIT                        | PAPER NUMBER                                 |
|                          | •                                    |                      | 2152<br>DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002 | 6  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The

| Office Action Summary   |   | Application No. Applicant(s)  |   |       |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|-------|--|--|--|
|   |   | 09/470,163  | PUTZOLU ET AL.  |       |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |       |  |  |  |
|   |   | Quang N. Nguyen   | 2152  |       |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation of the second section approximation approxim | pears on the cover sl   | neet with the correspondence address  |       |  |  |  |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | 136(a). In no event, however ply within the statutory minimu d will apply and will expire SIX te, cause the application to be | may a reply be timely filed  m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |       |  |  |  |
| 1)🖂   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22  | December 1999 and   | 16 July 2001 .  |       |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T  | his action is non-fina  |   |       |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims   |   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠   | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 8) 🗌  | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 9) 🗌 -  | The specification is objected to by the Examin  | er.   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 <i>December 1999</i></u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.   |   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 14)□ A  | cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes  | tic priority under 35 L   | J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application   | n).   |  |  |  |
| a)  | ☐ The translation of the foreign language procession. The translation of the foreign language procession.   | ovisional application   | has been received.  | •     |  |  |  |
| Attachment  |   |   |   |       |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) No   | erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ner:   |       |  |  |  |
| U.S. Patent and Tra<br>PTO-326 (Rev   |   | Action Summary  | Part of Paper No. 6   | <br>3 |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/470,163

Art Unit: 2152

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office action is in response to the Application No. 09/470163 filed on 12/22/1999, the Notice of File Missing Parts filed on 02/02/2000, the Response filed on 03/28/2000, the Request for Corrected Filing Receipt filed on 04/23/2000, and the IDS files on 07/16/2001.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen et al. (US 6,434,618), herein after referred as Cohen, and in view of Ramaswamy et al. (US 6,424,621), herein after referred as Ramaswamy.

Referring to claim 1, Cohen discloses a computer system comprising a forwarding element (router) adapted to perform data forwarding in a computer network; an interconnecting element operatively connecting the forwarding element to the control element; and a forwarding element plugin integrated with the control element (programmable network element/gateway) for receiving the standardized data set from the control element, translating the standardized data set into a specialized data set, and transmitting the specialized data set to the forwarding element to

Application/Control Number: 09/470,163

Art Unit: 2152

configure the forwarding element, wherein the forwarding element utilizes the specialized data set to configure the forwarding element for performing data forwarding in the computer network (see Cohen, Figs, 1-4 and respective portions of the specification, C2: L5-36, C3: L30-67, C4: L1-65, C11: L25-67, C12, and C13: L1-34). However, Cohen does not expressly disclose a control element adapted to perform network signaling and control in the computer network, wherein the control element is adapted to generate a standardized data set for configuring the forwarding element. In the related art, Ramaswamy discloses a computer system comprising a control element adapted to perform network signaling and control in the computer network, wherein the control element is adapted to generate a standardized data set for configuring the forwarding element (see Ramaswamy, Figs 1-7 and respective portions of the specification, C3: L9-50, C6: L25-48, C7: L1-24, and C10: L20-36). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify and combine the computer systems of Cohen and Ramaswamy so as to obtain the claimed invention since such methods/techniques were conventionally employed in transferring data packets between computer networks in which a software interface is defined between the switching module and the operating system for transferring data packets there between.

Referring to claim 2, Cohen discloses the computer system as in claim 1 above, further including an opaque forwarding element plugin (the dispatcher process 402) for receiving the standardized data set from the control element and transmitting the standardized data set to the forwarding element plugin, and for receiving the specialized data set from the forwarding element plugin and transmitting the specialized data set to the forwarding element (see Cohen, Fig. 4 and respective portion of the specification, C4: L14-38, C5: L40-67, and C6: L1-27).

Application/Control Number: 09/470,163

Art Unit: 2152

Referring to claim 3, Cohen-Ramaswamy discloses the computer system as in claim 1 above, but does not expressly disclose wherein the specialized data set is a binary large object. However, as generally known in the art, a Binary Large Object (BLOB) is a variable-length data type that is commonly used to store complex data, such as graphics images, video/audio data, and other non-textual data. Therefore, Cohen-Ramaswamy inherently teaches the specialized data is a binary large object (see Cohen, C3: L30-67 and C4: L1-6).

Referring to claim 6, Cohen-Ramaswamy discloses the computer system as in claim 1 above, wherein the specialized data set is encrypted before transmission to the forwarding element, and the encrypted specialized data set is decrypted at the forwarding element (see Cohen, C3: L49-52, and C4: L57-62).

Referring to claim 7, Cohen-Ramaswamy discloses the computer system as in claim 1 above, wherein the forwarding element plugin is a dynamic link library (see Cohen, C6: L28-50).

4. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen-Ramaswamy as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Beighe et al. (US 5,742,607), herein after referred as Beighe.

Referring to claims 4 and 5, Cohen-Ramaswamy discloses the computer system as in claim 1 above, but does not expressly disclose wherein the forwarding element further includes a decapsulator that receives the specialized data set and decapsulates the specialized data set into data readable by a device-specific forwarding element interface of the forwarding element to configure the forwarding element. In the related art, Beighe discloses a computer system comprising a central processor, a forward channel interface, a return channel interface, and a

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/470,163

Art Unit: 2152

main memory, each being coupled to a bus, wherein the forwarding element further includes a decapsulator that receives the specialized data set and decapsulates the specialized data set into data readable by a device-specific forwarding element interface of the forwarding element to configure the forwarding element (see Beighe, Fig. 3 and respective portion of the specification, C2: L24-48 and C8: L10-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify and combine the computer systems of Cohen-Ramaswamy and Beighe so as to obtain the claimed invention since such methods/techniques were conventionally employed in packet manipulation to control two way communication in network management system.

- 5. Referring to claims 8-16, the method of claims 8-16 is similar to the computer system of claims 1-7 in their limitations. Thus, the method of claims 8-16 is considered for the reasons as stated in the discussions of claims 1-7 above.
- 6. Referring to claims 17-21, the software program of claims 17-21 is similar to the computer system of claims 1-7 in their limitations. Thus, the software program of claims 17-21 is considered for the reasons as stated in the discussions of claims 1-7 above.

## Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to operating and network independent application program interface for use in an intelligent communication device in general:

U.S. Pat. No. 5,758,194 to Kuzma.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,115,747 to Billings et al.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,243,711 to Wu et al.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,393,496 to Schwaderer et al.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,401,132 to Bellwood et al.

8. A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE (3) months from the mailing date of this communication. See 37 CFR 1.134.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang N. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-8190.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark H. Rinehart can be reached on (703) 305-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5631.

MARK H. RINEHART
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100